



Liability of ISP

Access Providers

Cross Border Litigation

Dublin, 31 March 2017

Dr. Alexander Amann LL.M. (UCLA)

Access Providers

Protected by safe harbor Art 12 ECD -
no damages but injunctive relief

UPC Telekabel CJEU C-314/12, Austrian Supreme Court 4 Ob
71/14s, 4 Ob 22/15m
blocking of kinox.to - no specification of measures

MC Fadden/Sony C-484/14
injunction and costs
Stoererhaftung - German doctrine for contributory liability
measures examined – only password protection proportionate

Art 8/1/c Enforcement Directive - right to information
Coty Germany C-580/13

Jurisdiction

Art 2 et seq Brussels Regulation
general jurisdiction domicile of defendant

Art 5/3 Brussels Regulation
in matters relating to tort, delict or quasi-delict, in the courts for the
place where the harmful event occurred or may occur

1. Place of the infringing act / harmful event
2. Place where damage occurred

Other possibilities for domicile of plaintiff?

Jurisdiction – Examples 1

Art 5/3

Pez Hejduk CJEU C-441/13

1. actions of the owner of infringing site – seat of owner
Germany
2. accessibility of website containing infringing fotos in Austria

Pinckney CJEU C-170/12

1. owner of website offering infringing CDs in GB
2. accessibility of website in France

directing of website to the MS not necessary

Jurisdiction – Examples 2

Art 5/3

Austro Mechana / Amazon CJEU C-572/14

Preface: fair remuneration no contractual but tort claim under Art 5/3 Brussels Regulation

1. failure to pay fair remuneration – place of performance
2. lost profits – seat of Austro Mechana in Austria

Art 15 consumer jurisdiction

Schrems / Facebook CJEU C-498/16

lawsuit in Austria, assertion of similar claims assigned by consumer
Consumer jurisdiction for Austrian “class action”?

Art 5 applicable according to eDate Advertising and Martinez?

Jurisdiction v Remedies

Art 5/3 jurisdiction in IP matters

court may rule only on damages occurred in respective MS (CJEU C-170/12 Pinckney, C-441/13 Pez Hejduk, C-523/10 Wintersteiger)

Art 5/3 jurisdiction for infringements of personality rights

court may rule on full damages at domicile of plaintiff (CJEU C-509/09 und C-161/10 eDate Advertising and Martinez)

Territoriality principle of IP rights as justification for distinction, exception – Art 97 et seq EUTMR

Cross Border Issues EU – Liechtenstein

Brussels Regulation not applicable – no enforcement of foreign judgements

Various directives applicable (InfoSoc Dir, Enforcement Dir, TM Dir), but transposition partly missing

CJEU case law “somewhat” relevant but not binding, EFTA-Court competent to construe EEA law

priority of Swiss case law over EEA obligations?

Active standing of (non-exclusive) licensees unclear

Security deposit approx 10% of value in dispute



INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF YOUNG LAWYERS



Outlook – “Construction Sites”

Efficiency of Remedies

Necessity of class actions in IP/data protection cases?

Multi Territorial Licensing in Online Music – Art 29/1
Directive 2014/26/EU
no active standing of licensing bodies?

Thank you!

Dr. Alexander Amann LL.M. (UCLA)

Principality of Liechtenstein

Austria

Switzerland

aa@s-law.com




schwarzler

Rechtsanwälte | Attorneys at Law



INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF YOUNG LAWYERS

i am **aija**